Miami-Dade County Public Schools

ACADEMIR CHARTER SCHOOL PREPARATORY



2024-25 Schoolwide Improvement Plan

Table of Contents

| SIP Authority | 1 |
|--|----|
| I. School Information | 3 |
| A. School Mission and Vision | 3 |
| B. School Leadership Team | 3 |
| C. Stakeholder Involvement and Monitoring | 9 |
| D. Demographic Data | 10 |
| E. Early Warning Systems | 11 |
| II. Needs Assessment/Data Review | 14 |
| A. ESSA School, District, State Comparison | 15 |
| B. ESSA School-Level Data Review | 16 |
| C. ESSA Subgroup Data Review | 17 |
| D. Accountability Components by Subgroup | 20 |
| E. Grade Level Data Review | 23 |
| III. Planning for Improvement | 24 |
| IV. Positive Culture and Environment | 29 |
| V. Title I Requirements (optional) | 31 |
| VI. ATSI, TSI and CSI Resource Review | 35 |
| VII Budget to Support Areas of Focus | 36 |

School Board Approval

This plan was approved by the Dade County School Board on N/A.

SIP Authority

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes (F.S.), requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a new, amended, or continuation SIP for each school in the district which has a school grade of D or F; has a significant gap in achievement on statewide, standardized assessments administered pursuant to s. 1008.22 by one or more student subgroups, as defined in the federal Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA), 20 U.S.C. s. 6311(b)(2)(C)(v)(II); has not significantly increased the percentage of students passing statewide, standardized assessments; has not significantly increased the percentage of students demonstrating Learning Gains, as defined in s. 1008.34, and as calculated under s. 1008.34(3)(b), who passed statewide, standardized assessments; has been identified as requiring instructional supports under the Reading Achievement Initiative for Scholastic Excellence (RAISE) program established in s. 1008.365; or has significantly lower graduation rates for a subgroup when compared to the state's graduation rate. Rule 6A-1.098813, Florida Administrative Code (F.A.C.), requires district school boards to approve a SIP for each Department of Juvenile Justice (DJJ) school in the district rated as Unsatisfactory.

Below are the criteria for identification of traditional public and public charter schools pursuant to the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) State plan:

ADDITIONAL TARGET SUPPORT AND IMPROVEMENT (ATSI)

A school not identified for CSI or TSI, but has one or more subgroups with a Federal Index below 41%.

TARGETED SUPPORT AND IMPROVEMENT (TSI)

A school not identified as CSI that has at least one consistently underperforming subgroup with a Federal Index below 32% for three consecutive years.

COMPREHENSIVE SUPPORT AND IMPROVEMENT (CSI)

A school can be identified as CSI in any of the following four ways:

- 1. Have an overall Federal Index below 41%;
- 2. Have a graduation rate at or below 67%;
- 3. Have a school grade of D or F; or
- 4. Have a Federal Index below 41% in the same subgroup(s) for 6 consecutive years.

Printed: 11/08/2024 Page 1 of 37

ESEA sections 1111(d) requires that each school identified for ATSI, TSI or CSI develop a support and improvement plan created in partnership with stakeholders (including principals and other school leaders, teachers and parents), is informed by all indicators in the State's accountability system, includes evidence-based interventions, is based on a school-level needs assessment, and identifies resource inequities to be addressed through implementation of the plan. The support and improvement plans for schools identified as TSI, ATSI and non-Title I CSI must be approved and monitored by the school district. The support and improvement plans for schools identified as Title I, CSI must be approved by the school district and Department. The Department must monitor and periodically review implementation of each CSI plan after approval.

The Department's SIP template in the Florida Continuous Improvement Management System (CIMS), https://cims2.floridacims.org, meets all state and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all ESSA components for a support and improvement plan required for traditional public and public charter schools identified as CSI, TSI and ATSI, and eligible schools applying for Unified School Improvement Grant (UniSIG) funds.

Districts may allow schools that do not fit the aforementioned conditions to develop a SIP using the template in CIMS.

The responses to the corresponding sections in the Department's SIP template may address the requirements for:

- 1. Title I schools operating a schoolwide program (SWD), pursuant to ESSA, as amended, Section 1114(b); and
- 2. Charter schools that receive a school grade of D or F or three consecutive grades below C, pursuant to Rule 6A-1.099827, F.A.C. The chart below lists the applicable requirements.

| SIP SECTIONS | TITLE I SCHOOLWIDE PROGRAM | CHARTER SCHOOLS |
|--|---|----------------------|
| I.A: School Mission/Vision | | 6A-1.099827(4)(a)(1) |
| I.B-C: School Leadership, Stakeholder Involvement & SIP Monitoring | ESSA 1114(b) | |
| I.E: Early Warning System | ESSA 1114(b)(7)(A)(iii)(III) | 6A-1.099827(4)(a)(2) |
| II.A-E: Data Review | | 6A-1.099827(4)(a)(2) |
| III.A: Data Analysis/Reflection | ESSA 1114(b)(6) | 6A-1.099827(4)(a)(4) |
| III.B, IV: Area(s) of Focus | ESSA 1114(b)(7)(A)(i-iii) | |
| V: Title I Requirements | ESSA 1114(b)(2, 4-5), (7)(A)(iii)(I-V)-(B) ESSA 1116(b-g) | |

Note: Charter schools that are also Title I must comply with the requirements in both columns.

Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Department encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. The printed version in CIMS represents the SIP as of the "Printed" date listed in the footer.

Printed: 11/08/2024 Page 2 of 37

I. School Information

A. School Mission and Vision

Provide the school's mission statement

The mission of AcadeMir Charter School Preparatory is to provide students with a well rounded Kindergarten through Eighth grade education, through a challenging program, focused on mathematics and science using innovative, reform based instructional methods in a stimulating and nurturing environment that fosters maximum achievement.

Provide the school's vision statement

The vision for AcadeMir Charter School Preparatory is to provide students with a challenging and rigorous curricula enabling students to be well prepared for higher education and life through adherence to an unwavering mission, shared purpose and clearly articulated goals. Students will experience a cross curricula instructional approach using the Florida standards and benchmarks.

B. School Leadership Team

School Leadership Team

For each member of the school leadership team, enter the employee name, and identify the position title and job duties/responsibilities as they relate to SIP implementation for each member of the school leadership team.

Leadership Team Member #1

Employee's Name

Christopher Plantada

Position Title

Principal K-5

Job Duties and Responsibilities

- Oversee day-to-day school operations
- · Manage school logistics and budgets
- · Set learning goals for students and teachers based on national curricula
- Monitor and report on teacher performance Present data from school performance to board members
- Research new resources and techniques to improve teaching Interview and hire school personnel Review and implement school policies
- Provide guidance and counseling to teachers Handle emergencies and school crises

Printed: 11/08/2024 Page 3 of 37

 Organize school events and assemblies Ensure a safe and clean environment for students (e.g. implementing hygiene rules) Attend conferences to gain knowledge on current educational trends

Leadership Team Member #2

Employee's Name

Erica Tabares

Position Title

Assistant Principal K-5

Job Duties and Responsibilities

- Enforcing attendance rules
- · Meeting with parents to discuss student behavioral or learning problems
- Responding to disciplinary issues
- · Coordinating use of school facilities for day-to-day activities and special events
- Working with teachers to develop curriculum standards Developing and maintaining school safety procedures
- Evaluating teachers and learning materials to determine areas where improvement is needed
 Coordinating and planning class schedules
- · Assessing data such as state standards and test scores

Leadership Team Member #3

Employee's Name

Jaclyn Munoz

Position Title

Curriculum Coach

Job Duties and Responsibilities

The Curriculum Coach shall:

- Provides teaching strategies
- Sets educational standards and goals
- Interprets assessment results
- Develops academic programs and monitors student's educational
- · Provides coaching sessions .
- · Demonstrates lessons with pre- and post-discussion/analysis
- Provide professional development for teachers
- Coordinate planning, designing, and development of course content and assessments

Develop content and assessments that meet standards

Printed: 11/08/2024 Page 4 of 37

- Review student data and make recommendations
- · Research new and innovative curriculum practices
- Meet professional obligations through efficient work habits such as, meeting deadlines, honoring schedules, coordinating resources and meetings in an effective
- and timely manner, as well as demonstrate respect for others
- Integration of Technology across the curriculum
- Facilitate Professional Development
- Curriculum and Instruction
- Use of Technology
- Data Driven Instruction

Leadership Team Member #4

Employee's Name

Chariss Solomon

Position Title

Curriculum Coach

Job Duties and Responsibilities

The Curriculum Coach shall:

- · Provides teaching strategies
- · Sets educational standards and goals
- Interprets assessment results
- · Develops academic programs and monitors student's educational
- · Provides coaching sessions .
- Demonstrates lessons with pre- and post-discussion/analysis
- Provide professional development for teachers
- Coordinate planning, designing, and development of course content and assessments
- Develop content and assessments that meet standards
- Review student data and make recommendations
- Research new and innovative curriculum practices
- Meet professional obligations through efficient work habits such as, meeting deadlines, honoring schedules, coordinating resources and meetings in an effective
- and timely manner, as well as demonstrate respect for others
- Integration of Technology across the curriculum
- Facilitate Professional Development
- Curriculum and Instruction
- Use of Technology
- · Data Driven Instruction

Printed: 11/08/2024 Page 5 of 37

Leadership Team Member #5

Employee's Name

Samantha Proebsting

Position Title

SAC member

Job Duties and Responsibilities

- To adopt the School Improvement Plan (SIP) with goals and objectives for the improvement of education in the school.
- To recommend to the principal its priorities for spending school moneys.
- Gather and provide information to the principal and DAC on the needs or concerns of the school's community.
- When a school has been placed on a priority improvement or turnaround plan, the SAC shall advise the principal concerning the preparation of such plan, and submit recommendations concerning the content.
- Report, at least annually, to the community and the Board of Education, on the educational
 performance of the school and provide data for the appraisal of such performance.
- · Perform such other duties and responsibilities as may be required.

Leadership Team Member #6

Employee's Name

John Lux

Position Title

Principal 6-8

Job Duties and Responsibilities

- · Oversee day-to-day school operations
- Manage school logistics and budgets
- Set learning goals for students and teachers based on national curricula
- Monitor and report on teacher performance Present data from school performance to board members
- Research new resources and techniques to improve teaching Interview and hire school personnel Review and implement school policies
- Provide guidance and counseling to teachers Handle emergencies and school crises
- Organize school events and assemblies Ensure a safe and clean environment for students (e.g. implementing hygiene rules) Attend conferences to gain knowledge on current educational trends

Printed: 11/08/2024 Page 6 of 37

Leadership Team Member #7

Employee's Name

Angela Ramirez

Position Title

Assistant Principal 6-8

Job Duties and Responsibilities

- · Enforcing attendance rules
- Meeting with parents to discuss student behavioral or learning problems
- Responding to disciplinary issues
- · Coordinating use of school facilities for day-to-day activities and special events
- Working with teachers to develop curriculum standards Developing and maintaining school safety procedures
- Evaluating teachers and learning materials to determine areas where improvement is needed
 Coordinating and planning class schedules
- Assessing data such as state standards and test scores

Leadership Team Member #8

Employee's Name

Position Title

Job Duties and Responsibilities

No Answer Entered

Leadership Team Member #9

Employee's Name

Marlene Lorie

Position Title

Curriculum Coach 6-8

Job Duties and Responsibilities

The Curriculum Coach shall:

- Provides teaching strategies
- · Sets educational standards and goals
- · Interprets assessment results

Printed: 11/08/2024 Page 7 of 37

Dade ACADEMIR CHARTER SCHOOL PREPARATORY 2024-25 SIP

- Develops academic programs and monitors student's educational
- · Provides coaching sessions .
- Demonstrates lessons with pre- and post-discussion/analysis
- Provide professional development for teachers
- · Coordinate planning, designing, and development of course content and assessments
- Develop content and assessments that meet standards
- Review student data and make recommendations
- Research new and innovative curriculum practices
- Meet professional obligations through efficient work habits such as, meeting deadlines, honoring schedules, coordinating resources and meetings in an effective
- and timely manner, as well as demonstrate respect for others
- · Integration of Technology across the curriculum
- Facilitate Professional Development
- Curriculum and Instruction
- Use of Technology
- Data Driven Instruction

Printed: 11/08/2024 Page 8 of 37

C. Stakeholder Involvement and Monitoring

Stakeholder Involvement and SIP Development

Describe the process for involving stakeholders [including the school leadership team, teachers and school staff, parents, students (mandatory for secondary schools) and families, and business or community leaders] and how their input was used in the SIP development process. (ESEA 1114(b)(2))

Note: If a School Advisory Council is used to fulfill these requirements, it must include all required stakeholders.

All stakeholders are involved in all school decisions by being invited to all school meetings in a timely manner, held at different times of day to make attendance more feasible for a variety of families. The school has a School Advisory Council which includes the leadership team, teachers and staff, families and community leaders. SAC met and discussed goals prior to official meeting in collaboration with administration.

SIP Monitoring

Describe how the SIP will be regularly monitored for effective implementation and impact on increasing the achievement of students in meeting the state academic standards, particularly for those students with the greatest achievement gap. Describe how the school will revise the plan with stakeholder feedback, as necessary, to ensure continuous improvement. (ESEA 1114(b)(3))

The School Improvement Plan will be regularly monitored for effective implementation and impact on student achievement through classroom walk-throughs, student progress monitoring, common planning, and feedback from the Student Advisory Council.

Printed: 11/08/2024 Page 9 of 37

D. Demographic Data

| 2024-25 STATUS (PER MSID FILE) | ACTIVE |
|---|--|
| SCHOOL TYPE AND GRADES SERVED (PER MSID FILE) | COMBINATION KG-8 |
| PRIMARY SERVICE TYPE (PER MSID FILE) | K-12 GENERAL EDUCATION |
| 2023-24 TITLE I SCHOOL STATUS | YES |
| 2023-24 MINORITY RATE | 98.5% |
| 2023-24 ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED (FRL) RATE | 82.4% |
| CHARTER SCHOOL | YES |
| RAISE SCHOOL | NO |
| 2023-24 ESSA IDENTIFICATION *UPDATED AS OF 7/25/2024 | N/A |
| ELIGIBLE FOR UNIFIED SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT GRANT (UNISIG) | |
| 2023-24 ESSA SUBGROUPS REPRESENTED (SUBGROUPS WITH 10 OR MORE STUDENTS) (SUBGROUPS BELOW THE FEDERAL THRESHOLD ARE IDENTIFIED WITH AN ASTERISK) | STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES (SWD) ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS (ELL) BLACK/AFRICAN AMERICAN STUDENTS (BLK) HISPANIC STUDENTS (HSP) ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED STUDENTS (FRL) |
| *2022-23 SCHOOL GRADES WILL SERVE AS AN INFORMATIONAL BASELINE. | 2023-24: A 2022-23: A* 2021-22: A 2020-21: 2019-20: |

Printed: 11/08/2024 Page 10 of 37

E. Early Warning Systems

1. Grades K-8

Current Year 2024-25

Using 2023-24 data, complete the table below with the number of students by current grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator listed:

| INDICATOR | | | | GR/ | ADE I | LEVE | L | | | TOTAL | |
|---|---|----|----|-----|-------|------|----|----|----|-------|--|
| INDICATOR | K | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | TOTAL | |
| Absent 10% or more school days | 2 | 18 | 23 | 5 | 9 | 19 | 19 | 12 | 10 | 117 | |
| One or more suspensions | | | | | | | | | | 0 | |
| Course failure in English Language Arts (ELA) | 2 | 4 | 2 | 6 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 31 | |
| Course failure in Math | 2 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 12 | 14 | 9 | 0 | 1 | 46 | |
| Level 1 on statewide ELA assessment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 9 | 19 | 30 | 13 | 11 | 89 | |
| Level 1 on statewide Math assessment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 21 | 27 | 9 | 9 | 71 | |
| Number of students with a substantial reading deficiency as defined by Rule 6A-6.053, F.A.C. (only applies to grades K-3) | | | | | | | | | | 0 | |
| Number of students with a substantial mathematics defined by Rule 6A-6.0533, F.A.C. (only applies to grades K-4) | | | | | | | | | | 0 | |

Current Year 2024-25

Using the table above, complete the table below with the number of students by current grade level that have two or more early warning indicators:

| INDICATOR | | | G | RAE | DE L | EVE | L | | | TOTAL |
|--------------------------------------|---|---|---|-----|------|-----|---|---|---|-------|
| | K | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | TOTAL |
| Students with two or more indicators | | | | | | | | | | 0 |

Current Year 2024-25

Using the table above, complete the table below with the number of students retained:

| INDICATOR | | | G | RAI | DE L | EVE | L | | TOTAL |
|-------------------------------------|---|--|---|-----|------|-----|---|---|-------|
| INDICATOR | K | | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | TOTAL |
| Retained students: current year | | | | | | | | | 0 |
| Students retained two or more times | | | | | | | | | 0 |

Printed: 11/08/2024 Page 11 of 37

Prior Year (2023-24) As Last Reported (pre-populated)

The number of students by grade level that exhibited each early warning indicator:

| INDICATOR | | | C | RAI | DE L | EVE | L | | | TOTAL |
|---|---|---|---|-----|------|-----|---|---|---|-------|
| INDICATOR | K | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | IOIAL |
| Absent 10% or more school days | | | 2 | 2 | | 3 | | 2 | 3 | 12 |
| One or more suspensions | | | | | | | 3 | | 1 | 4 |
| Course failure in ELA | | 1 | | 8 | | 3 | | | 1 | 13 |
| Course failure in Math | | 1 | 2 | 2 | | 4 | | 3 | | 12 |
| Level 1 on statewide ELA assessment | | | | 9 | | 7 | | 9 | 8 | 33 |
| Level 1 on statewide Math assessment | | | | 9 | | 7 | | 9 | 8 | 33 |
| Number of students with a substantial reading deficiency as defined by Rule 6A-6.053, F.A.C. (only applies to grades K-3) | | | | 9 | | | | | | 33 |

Prior Year (2023-24) As Last Reported (pre-populated)

The number of students by current grade level that had two or more early warning indicators:

| INDICATOR | | | C | RAI | DE L | EVE | L | | | TOTAL |
|--------------------------------------|---|---|---|-----|------|-----|---|---|---|-------|
| INDICATOR | K | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | TOTAL |
| Students with two or more indicators | | 4 | 3 | 9 | | 7 | | 9 | 8 | 40 |

Prior Year (2023-24) As Last Reported (pre-populated)

The number of students retained:

| INDICATOR | | | G | RAD | DE L | EVE | L | | | TOTAL |
|-------------------------------------|---|---|---|-----|------|-----|---|---|---|-------|
| INDICATOR | K | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | TOTAL |
| Retained students: current year | 1 | 1 | | 2 | | | | 1 | | 5 |
| Students retained two or more times | | | | | | | | | | 0 |

Printed: 11/08/2024 Page 12 of 37

2. Grades 9-12 (optional)

This section intentionally left blank because it addresses grades not taught at this school or the school opted not to include data for these grades.

Printed: 11/08/2024 Page 13 of 37



Printed: 11/08/2024 Page 14 of 37

A. ESSA School, District, State Comparison

school or combination schools). Each "blank" cell indicates the school had less than 10 eligible students with data for a particular component and was not calculated for the school. Please note that the district and state averages shown here represent the averages for similar school types (elementary, middle, high

Data for 2023-24 had not been fully loaded to CIMS at time of printing.

| ACCOUNTABILITY COMPONENT | | 2024 | | | 2023 | | | 2022** | |
|--------------------------------|--------|----------|-------|--------|----------|-------|--------|----------|-------|
| COCONICATION | SCHOOL | DISTRICT | STATE | SCHOOL | DISTRICT | STATE | SCHOOL | DISTRICT | STATE |
| ELA Achievement * | 74 | 65 | 58 | 67 | 61 | 53 | 62 | 62 | 55 |
| ELA Grade 3 Achievement ** | 79 | 63 | 59 | 71 | 58 | 56 | | | |
| ELA Learning Gains | 73 | 64 | 59 | | | | 56 | | |
| ELA Learning Gains Lowest 25% | 70 | 58 | 54 | | | | 48 | | |
| Math Achievement * | 76 | 68 | 59 | 71 | 63 | 55 | 67 | 51 | 42 |
| Math Learning Gains | 64 | 66 | 61 | | | | 65 | | |
| Math Learning Gains Lowest 25% | 61 | 63 | 56 | | | | 62 | | |
| Science Achievement * | 66 | 60 | 54 | 75 | 56 | 52 | 67 | 60 | 54 |
| Social Studies Achievement * | 87 | 79 | 72 | 79 | 77 | 68 | 72 | 68 | 59 |
| Graduation Rate | | 78 | 71 | | 76 | 74 | | 53 | 50 |
| Middle School Acceleration | 80 | 77 | 71 | 88 | 75 | 70 | 81 | 61 | 51 |
| College and Career Readiness | | 76 | 54 | | 73 | 53 | | 78 | 70 |
| ELP Progress | 63 | 64 | 59 | 70 | 62 | 55 | 67 | 75 | 70 |
| | | | | | | | | | |

Index (FPPI) than in school grades calculation. *In cases where a school does not test 95% of students in a subject, the achievement component will be different in the Federal Percent of Points

Printed: 11/08/2024 Page 15 of 37

^{**}Grade 3 ELA Achievement was added beginning with the 2023 calculation

[†] District and State data presented here are for schools of the same type: elementary, middle, high school, or combination.

B. ESSA School-Level Data Review (pre-populated)

| 2023-24 ESSA FPPI | |
|--|------|
| ESSA Category (CSI, TSI or ATSI) | N/A |
| OVERALL FPPI – All Students | 72% |
| OVERALL FPPI Below 41% - All Students | No |
| Total Number of Subgroups Missing the Target | 0 |
| Total Points Earned for the FPPI | 793 |
| Total Components for the FPPI | 11 |
| Percent Tested | 100% |
| Graduation Rate | |

| | | ESSA C | VERALL FPPI I | HISTORY | | |
|---------|---------|---------|---------------|----------|---------|---------|
| 2023-24 | 2022-23 | 2021-22 | 2020-21 | 2019-20* | 2018-19 | 2017-18 |
| 72% | 74% | 65% | 58% | | 60% | 69% |

^{*} Pursuant to Florida Department of Education Emergency Order No. 2020-EO-1 (PDF), spring K-12 statewide assessment test administrations for the 2019-20 school year were canceled and accountability measures reliant on such data were not calculated for the 2019-20 school year. In April 2020, the U.S. Department of Education provided all states a waiver to keep the same school identifications for 2019-20 as determined in 2018-19 due to the COVID-19 pandemic.

Printed: 11/08/2024 Page 16 of 37

C. ESSA Subgroup Data Review (pre-populated)

| | 2023-24 ESS | SA SUBGROUP DATA | SUMMARY | |
|---|---------------------------------|-----------------------|---|---|
| ESSA SUBGROUP | FEDERAL PERCENT OF POINTS INDEX | SUBGROUP BELOW 41% | NUMBER OF CONSECUTIVE YEARS THE SUBGROUP IS BELOW 41% | NUMBER OF CONSECUTIVE YEARS THE SUBGROUP IS BELOW 32% |
| Students With Disabilities | 55% | No | | |
| English Language Learners | 67% | No | | |
| Black/African American Students | 66% | No | | |
| Hispanic Students | 72% | No | | |
| Economically Disadvantaged Students | 71% | No | | |
| | 2022-23 ESS | SA SUBGROUP DATA | SUMMARY | |
| ESSA SUBGROUP | FEDERAL PERCENT OF POINTS INDEX | SUBGROUP BELOW 41% | NUMBER OF CONSECUTIVE YEARS THE SUBGROUP IS BELOW 41% | NUMBER OF CONSECUTIVE YEARS THE SUBGROUP IS BELOW 32% |
| Students With Disabilities | 48% | No | | |
| English Language Learners | 70% | No | | |

Printed: 11/08/2024 Page 17 of 37

| | 2022-23 ESS | SA SUBGROUP DATA | SUMMARY | |
|--|-----------------------------------|-----------------------|---|---|
| ESSA SUBGROUP | FEDERAL PERCENT OF POINTS INDEX | SUBGROUP BELOW 41% | NUMBER OF CONSECUTIVE YEARS THE SUBGROUP IS BELOW 41% | NUMBER OF CONSECUTIVE YEARS THE SUBGROUP IS BELOW 32% |
| Black/African American Students | 57% | No | | |
| Hispanic Students | 74% | No | | |
| Economically Disadvantaged Students | 74% | No | | |
| | 2021-22 ESS | SA SUBGROUP DATA | SUMMARY | |
| | | | | |
| ESSA SUBGROUP | FEDERAL PERCENT OF POINTS INDEX | SUBGROUP BELOW 41% | NUMBER OF CONSECUTIVE YEARS THE SUBGROUP IS BELOW 41% | NUMBER OF CONSECUTIVE YEARS THE SUBGROUP IS BELOW 32% |
| | PERCENT OF | | CONSECUTIVE YEARS THE SUBGROUP IS | CONSECUTIVE YEARS THE SUBGROUP IS |
| SUBGROUP Students With | PERCENT OF POINTS INDEX | BELOW 41% | CONSECUTIVE YEARS THE SUBGROUP IS | CONSECUTIVE YEARS THE SUBGROUP IS |
| SUBGROUP Students With Disabilities English Language | PERCENT OF POINTS INDEX 47% | BELOW 41% No | CONSECUTIVE YEARS THE SUBGROUP IS | CONSECUTIVE YEARS THE SUBGROUP IS |
| SUBGROUP Students With Disabilities English Language Learners Native American | PERCENT OF POINTS INDEX 47% | BELOW 41% No | CONSECUTIVE YEARS THE SUBGROUP IS | CONSECUTIVE YEARS THE SUBGROUP IS |

Printed: 11/08/2024 Page 18 of 37

| | 2021-22 ESS | SA SUBGROUP DATA | SUMMARY | |
|---|---------------------------------|-----------------------|---|---|
| ESSA SUBGROUP | FEDERAL PERCENT OF POINTS INDEX | SUBGROUP BELOW 41% | NUMBER OF CONSECUTIVE YEARS THE SUBGROUP IS BELOW 41% | NUMBER OF CONSECUTIVE YEARS THE SUBGROUP IS BELOW 32% |
| Hispanic Students | 64% | No | | |
| Multiracial Students | | | | |
| Pacific Islander Students | | | | |
| White Students | | | | |
| Economically Disadvantaged Students | 64% | No | | |

Printed: 11/08/2024 Page 19 of 37

D. Accountability Components by Subgroup

the school. (pre-populated) Each "blank" cell indicates the school had less than 10 eligible students with data for a particular component and was not calculated for

| | ELA ACH. | GRADE 3 ELA ACH. | LG ELA | 2023-24 A ELA LG L25% | CCOUNTAI MATH ACH. | BILITY CON MATH LG | 2023-24 ACCOUNTABILITY COMPONENTS BY ELA MATH MATH MATH LG LG ACH. LG L25% | BY SUBGROUPS SCI SS ACH. AC | OUPS SS ACH. | MS ACCEL. | GRAD RATE 2022-23 | C&C ACCEL 2022-23 | ELP PROGRE\$S |
|---------------------------------------|-------------|------------------------|-----------|--------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------------|--|-----------------------------|--------------------|--------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| All Students | 74% | 79% | 73% | 70% | 76% | 64% | 61% | 66% | 87% | 80% | | | 63% |
| Students With Disabilities | 44% | | 65% | 58% | 56% | 70% | 62% | 42% | | | | | 41% |
| English Language Learners | 69% | 73% | 70% | 65% | 74% | 66% | 57% | 58% | 76% | 63% | | | 63% |
| Black/African American Students | 77% | | 75% | | 69% | 42% | | | | | | | |
| Hispanic Students | 74% | 78% | 73% | 71% | 76% | 64% | 61% | 67% | 87% | 80% | | | 63% |
| Economically Disadvantaged Students | 73% | 76% | 73% | 69% | 75% | 64% | 59% | 65% | 86% | 80% | | | 63% |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Printed: 11/08/2024 Page 20 of 37

| Economically Disadvantaged 67% Students | Hispanic 67% | Black/African American 47% Students | English Language 62% 7 Learners | Students With 33% 4 | All Students 67% | ELA GI ACH. 3 |
|---|--------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 71% | 72% | | 70% | 40% | 71% | 2022-2: GRADE ELA ELA 3 ELA LG ACH. LG L25% |
| 71% | 71% | 67% | 68% | 48% | 71% | 2022-23 ACCOUNTABILITY COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS ELA MATH MATH SCI SS LG ACH. LG L25% ACH. ACH. |
| 74% 8 | 75% 7 | | 59% 7 | 53% 6 | 75% 7 | MATH SCI LG ACH. A |
| 81% 89% | 78% 87% | | 75% 86% | 67% | 79% 88% | MS ACCEL. |
| 68% | 67% | | 67% | 47% | 70% | GRAD C&C ELP RATE ACCEL PROGRESS |

Printed: 11/08/2024 Page 21 of 37

| | Economically Disadvantaged Students | White Students | Pacific Islander Students | Multiracial Students | Hispanic Students | Black/African American Students | Asian Students | Native American Students | English Language Learners | Students With Disabilities | All Students | | |
|---------|-------------------------------------|-------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------|--------------|---|--|
| | 59% | | | | 61% | 47% | | | 52% | 31% | 62% | ELA ACH. | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | GRADE 3 ELA ACH. | |
| | 56% | | | | 56% | 62% | | | 49% | 48% | 56% | ELA | |
| | 47% | | | | 47% | | | | 44% | 37% | 48% | 2021-22 / ELA LG L25% | |
| | 66% | | | | 67% | 47% | | | 60% | 37% | 67% | MATH ACH. | |
| | 64% | | | | 66% | 67% | | | 62% | 56% | 65% | 2021-22 ACCOUNTABILITY COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS ELA MATH MATH LG SCI SS LG ACH. LG L25% ACH. AC | |
| | 61% | | | | 61% | | | | 61% | 59% | 62% | MATH LG L25% | |
| | 64% | | | | 67% | | | | 63% | 35% | 67% | BY SUBGR SCI ACH. | |
| | 73% | | | | 71% | | | | 62% | 40% | 72% | ROUPS SS ACH. | |
| | 80% | | | | 81% | | | | | | 81% | MS ACCEL | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | GRAD RATE 2020-21 | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | C&C ACCEL 2020-21 | |
| | 66% | | | | 67% | | | | 67% | 80% | 67% | PROGRES SPage 22 of 37 | |
| Printed | : 11/08/20 | 024 | | | | | | | | | | Page 22 of 37 | |

E. Grade Level Data Review – State Assessments (prepopulated)

The data are raw data and include ALL students who tested at the school. This is not school grade data. The percentages shown here represent ALL students who received a score of 3 or higher on the statewide assessments.

An asterisk (*) in any cell indicates the data has been suppressed due to fewer than 10 students tested or all tested students scoring the same.

| | | | 2023-24 SPR | RING | | |
|----------|-------|------------|---------------------|--------------------------|--------------------|-------------------|
| SUBJECT | GRADE | SCHOOL | DISTRICT | SCHOOL - DISTRICT | STATE | SCHOOL - STATE |
| Ela | 3 | 78% | 56% | 22% | 55% | 23% |
| Ela | 4 | 68% | 55% | 13% | 53% | 15% |
| Ela | 5 | 64% | 56% | 8% | 55% | 9% |
| Ela | 6 | 76% | 57% | 19% | 54% | 22% |
| Ela | 7 | 80% | 55% | 25% | 50% | 30% |
| Ela | 8 | 74% | 54% | 20% | 51% | 23% |
| Math | 3 | 83% | 65% | 18% | 60% | 23% |
| Math | 4 | 63% | 62% | 1% | 58% | 5% |
| Math | 5 | 65% | 59% | 6% | 56% | 9% |
| Math | 6 | 79% | 60% | 19% | 56% | 23% |
| Math | 7 | 76% | 49% | 27% | 47% | 29% |
| Math | 8 | 90% | 58% | 32% | 54% | 36% |
| Science | 5 | 61% | 53% | 8% | 53% | 8% |
| Science | 8 | 49% | 42% | 7% | 45% | 4% |
| Civics | | 82% | 70% | 12% | 67% | 15% |
| Biology | | 97% | 70% | 27% | 67% | 30% |
| Algebra | | 79% | 55% | 24% | 50% | 29% |
| Geometry | | * data sup | pressed due to fewe | er than 10 students or a | ll tested students | scoring the same. |

Printed: 11/08/2024 Page 23 of 37

III. Planning for Improvement

A. Data Analysis/Reflection (ESEA Section 1114(b)(6))

Answer the following reflection prompts after examining any/all relevant school data sources.

Most Improvement

Which data component showed the most improvement? What new actions did your school take in this area?

Overall ELA Proficiency went from 67% proficient to 74% proficient; where 73% of the students made a learning gain and 70% of our lowest 25% also made a learning gain. In addition, third grade was at 79% proficient.

Lowest Performance

Which data component showed the lowest performance? Explain the contributing factor(s) to last year's low performance and discuss any trends.

Our lowest component in 2024 was Science. Science proficiency dropped from 75% to 66%.

5th grade- 79% (2023) to 60% (2024)

8th grade- 33% (2023) to 49% (2024)

Biology- 76% (2023) to 97% (2024)

5th grade was trending low all year. Teachers expressed a need for more modeling and coaching.

Teachers also expressed students are not coming to 5th grade with a strong science foundation.

Administration noted that their was not enough practice in application through hands on labs.

Greatest Decline

Which data component showed the greatest decline from the prior year? Explain the factor(s) that contributed to this decline.

5th grade Science showed the greatest decline, dropping from 79% proficient to 60%. Teachers expressed a need for more modeling and coaching. Teachers also expressed students are not coming to 5th grade with a strong science foundation. Administration noted that their was not enough practice in application through hands on labs.

Greatest Gap

Which data component had the greatest gap when compared to the state average? Explain the factor(s) that contributed to this gap and any trends.

AcadeMir Charter School Preparatory performed above all district and state averages. .

EWS Areas of Concern

Printed: 11/08/2024 Page 24 of 37

Reflecting on the EWS data from Part I, identify one or two potential areas of concern.

Truancy/ Student Attendance

Highest Priorities

Rank your highest priorities (maximum of 5) for school improvement in the upcoming school year.

- 1. Science Proficiency
- 2. Student Attendance

Printed: 11/08/2024 Page 25 of 37

B. Area(s) of Focus (Instructional Practices)

(Identified key Area of Focus that addresses the school's highest priority based on any/all relevant data sources)

Area of Focus #1

Address the school's highest priorities based on any/all relevant data sources.

Instructional Practice specifically relating to Science

Area of Focus Description and Rationale

Include a description of your Area of Focus, how it affects student learning, and a rationale explaining how it was identified as a crucial need from the prior year data reviewed.

According to the 2024 FAST PM3 Science data, the drop in 19 percentage points in 5th Grade Science has alerted us to identify science as a school wide Area of Focus for the 24/25 school year. Based on the data, the contributing factors include lack of informational text as a support in the Reading intervention block, low attendance rates at our Science bootcamps and Saturday Academy, and insufficient application of hands on labs to reinforce the lack of foundational content knowledge in science.

Measurable Outcome

Include prior year data and state the specific measurable outcome the school plans to achieve for each relevant grade level. This should be a data-based, objective outcome.

Our lowest component in 2024 was Science. Science proficiency dropped from 75% to 66%. (5th grade- 79% (2023) to 60% (2024), 8th grade- 33% (2023) to 49% (2024) Biology- 76% (2023) to 97% (2024)). Our goal is to be back at a 75% or higher.

Monitoring

Describe how this Area of Focus will be monitored for the desired outcome. Include a description of how ongoing monitoring will impact student achievement outcomes.

Benchmark and topic assessments will be conducted. Continuous data chats with the teachers will be implemented. Administration will conduct more walkthroughs during science lab time.

Person responsible for monitoring outcome

Chariss Solomon (Science Coach) and administration.

Evidence-based Intervention:

Describe the evidence-based intervention (practices/programs) being implemented to achieve the measurable outcomes in each relevant grade level, explain the rationale for selecting this specific strategy, and describe how the identified interventions will be monitored for this Area of Focus (ESEA Section 8101(21)(B)).

Printed: 11/08/2024 Page 26 of 37

Description of Intervention #1:

Teachers will use research-based curriculum materials. Informational text with a focus on science is implemented cross-curricular. Students participate in scientific inquiry weekly and have weekly Science Lab with a separate STEM teacher.

Rationale:

STEM lab will expose students to the scientific process and scientific inquiry in order to better understand the standards in which they are being assessed.

Tier of Evidence-based Intervention:

Tier 1 – Strong Evidence

Will this evidence-based intervention be funded with UniSIG?

No

Action Steps to Implement:

List the action steps that will be taken to address this Area of Focus or implement this intervention. Identify 2-3 action steps and the person responsible for each step.

Action Step #1

The Science teachers will plan collaboratively with the Science Coach and the STEM lab teacher to align lessons (labs), follow district pacing guides, and ensure that instruction is targeting deficiencies identified in data chats.

Person Monitoring:

Chariss Solomon

By When/Frequency:

Biweekly, year round

Describe the Action to Be Taken and how the school will monitor the impact of this action step:

Science Coach will monitor lesson plans, topic assessment data, benchmark exam data, and meet with the science department to make data based decisions.

Area of Focus #2

Address the school's highest priorities based on any/all relevant data sources.

Instructional Practice specifically relating to ELA

Area of Focus Description and Rationale

Include a description of your Area of Focus, how it affects student learning, and a rationale explaining how it was identified as a crucial need from the prior year data reviewed.

According to the 2024 B.E.S.T. Writing Assessment Data, 58 % of our students scored a 6 or higher. Based on this data, we have identified Writing as an area of focus within our ELA block. Through targeted writing lessons, ELA proficiency scores will also increase.

Measurable Outcome

Include prior year data and state the specific measurable outcome the school plans to achieve for each relevant grade level. This should be a data-based, objective outcome.

With the implementation of targeted writing lessons, 65% of our students will score an 8/12 or higher

Printed: 11/08/2024 Page 27 of 37

on the 2025 B.E.S.T. Writing Assessment.

Monitoring

Describe how this Area of Focus will be monitored for the desired outcome. Include a description of how ongoing monitoring will impact student achievement outcomes.

Teachers in 4th through 8th grades will administer monthly writing prompts to guide instruction. Teachers will conduct data chats with students and the Reading Coach will discuss data with the teacher. 4 of the prompts will be formal assessments (Baseline, Midyear, End of Year, and State Writing Test).

Person responsible for monitoring outcome

Jaclyn Munoz and Marlene Lorie

Evidence-based Intervention:

Describe the evidence-based intervention (practices/programs) being implemented to achieve the measurable outcomes in each relevant grade level, explain the rationale for selecting this specific strategy, and describe how the identified interventions will be monitored for this Area of Focus (ESEA Section 8101(21)(B)).

Description of Intervention #1:

Teachers will attend a comprehensive 7-hour writing training session focused on the Florida BEST Writing Expectations, instructional strategies, rubrics, and best practices.

Rationale:

Teachers will: Understand the Florida BEST Writing Standards and their classroom implications. Master the Florida BEST Writing Rubrics for consistent and accurate student assessment. Explore effective instructional strategies, including mentor texts, writing workshops, and scaffolding techniques. Develop actionable plans to implement these strategies, with a focus on differentiation, feedback, and the integration of technology.

Tier of Evidence-based Intervention:

Tier 1 – Strong Evidence

Will this evidence-based intervention be funded with UniSIG?

No

Action Steps to Implement:

List the action steps that will be taken to address this Area of Focus or implement this intervention. Identify 2-3 action steps and the person responsible for each step.

Action Step #1

Writing PD

Person Monitoring:

By When/Frequency:

Jaclyn Munoz and Marlene Lorie September 21

Describe the Action to Be Taken and how the school will monitor the impact of this action step:

Teachers will attend a comprehensive 7-hour writing training session focused on the Florida BEST

Printed: 11/08/2024 Page 28 of 37

Writing Expectations, instructional strategies, rubrics, and best practices.

Action Step #2

Monthly Writing Prompts & Data Chats

Person Monitoring:

By When/Frequency: Monthly, Year round

Jaclyn Munoz and Marlene Lorie

Monthly, Year round

Describe the Action to Be Taken and how the school will monitor the impact of this action step:

Teachers in 4th through 8th grades will administer monthly writing prompts to guide instruction. Teachers will conduct data chats with students and the Reading Coach will discuss data with the teacher. 4 of the prompts will be formal assessments (Baseline, Midyear, End of Year, and State Writing Test).

IV. Positive Culture and Environment

Area of Focus #1

Student Attendance

Area of Focus Description and Rationale

Include a description of your Area of Focus for each relevant grade level, how it affects student learning, and a rationale explaining how it was identified as a crucial need from the prior year data reviewed.

After reviewing the Early Warning System Indicators report, it was identified that 14% of our students are missing school for 10% or more of the school days in a school year. The school will also be targeting excessive tardies and early releases.

Measurable Outcome

Include prior year data and state the specific measurable outcome the school plans to achieve for each relevant grade level. This should be a data-based, objective outcome.

Our goal is to lower the amount of students with excessive absences (10% or more of the school days) from 14% to 7%.

Monitoring

Describe how this Area of Focus will be monitored for the desired outcome. Include a description of how ongoing monitoring will impact student achievement outcomes.

The school counselor will be contacting parents quarterly once a student reaches 10 absences. Teachers will meet with parents of students who are frequently tardy or picked up early. We will follow the district policies regarding truancy for students with excessive absences. Students with perfect attendance will be rewarded quarterly at our awards ceremonies.

Person responsible for monitoring outcome

Printed: 11/08/2024 Page 29 of 37

Aurora Sosa (School counselor), Sabrena Payne (Middle School Dean of Discipline)

Evidence-based Intervention:

Describe the evidence-based intervention (practices/programs) being implemented to achieve the measurable outcomes, explain the rationale for selecting this specific strategy, and describe how the identified interventions will be monitored for this Area of Focus (ESEA Section 8101(21)(B)).

Description of Intervention #1:

The school counselor and the Dean will retrieve attendance reports at Interim Progress Report and Report Card time in order to communicate with parents to be proactive with students accumulating excessive absences.

Rationale:

Effective communication and working with the student support team will ensure success with student attendance.

Tier of Evidence-based Intervention:

Tier 1 – Strong Evidence

Will this evidence-based intervention be funded with UniSIG?

No

Action Steps to Implement:

Action Step #1

Attendance monitoring

Person Monitoring: By When/Frequency: Aurora Sosa Monthly/ Year round

Describe the Action to Be Taken and how the school will monitor the impact of this action step:

The school counselor will plan, promote, and implement with teachers an incentive program for homeroom attendance. She will communicate with parents in a timely manner for students accumulating excessive absences.

Printed: 11/08/2024 Page 30 of 37

V. Title I Requirements (optional)

A. Schoolwide Program Plan (SWP)

This section must be completed if the school is implementing a Title I, Part A SWP and opts to use the SIP to satisfy the requirements of the SWP plan, as outlined in ESEA Section 1114(b). This section of the SIP is not required for non-Title I schools.

Dissemination Methods

Provide the methods for dissemination of this SIP, UniSIG budget and SWP to stakeholders (e.g., students, families, school staff and leadership, and local businesses and organizations). Please articulate a plan or protocol for how this SIP and progress will be shared and disseminated and to the extent practicable, provided in a language a parent can understand. (ESEA 1114(b)(4))

List the school's webpage where the SIP is made publicly available.

SIP will be discussed at every EESAC meeting and shared with all parental stakeholders at the annual Title 1 meeting. This SIP is also used to develop the PFEP after discussion at an EESAC meeting. It is available on the school website: http://www.academircharterschoolpreparatory.com/acsp-title-1-2/#1724788799201-91421753-f2a0

Positive Relationships With Parents, Families and other Community Stakeholders

Describe how the school plans to build positive relationships with parents, families and other community stakeholders to fulfill the school's mission, support the needs of students and keep parents informed of their child's progress.

List the school's webpage where the school's Parental and Family Engagement Plan (PFEP) is made publicly available. (ESEA 1116(b-g))

http://www.academircharterschoolpreparatory.com/acsp-title-1-2/#1724788799201-91421753-f2a0

Plans to Strengthen the Academic Program

Describe how the school plans to strengthen the academic program in the school, increase the amount and quality of learning time and help provide an enriched and accelerated curriculum. Include the Area of Focus if addressed in Part II of the SIP. (ESEA Section 1114(b)(7)ii))

ACSP is investing in acceleration in the primary grades to cultivate a culture and cohort for acceleration first through eight grades. Identification and acceleration particularly in the areas of mathematics is key. Alignment with accelerated mathematics courses and ensuring multiple enrichment opportunities in STEM based initiatives.

How Plan is Developed

Printed: 11/08/2024 Page 31 of 37

If appropriate and applicable, describe how this plan is developed in coordination and integration with other Federal, State and local services, resources and programs, such as programs supported under ESSA, violence prevention programs, nutrition programs, housing programs, Head Start programs, adult education programs, career and technical education programs, and schools implementing CSI or TSI activities under section 1111(d). (ESEA Sections 1114(b)(5) and 1116(e)(4))

N/A

Printed: 11/08/2024 Page 32 of 37

B. Component(s) of the Schoolwide Program Plan

Components of the Schoolwide Program Plan, as applicable

Include descriptions for any additional, applicable strategies that address the needs of all children in the school, but particularly the needs of those at risk of not meeting the challenging state academic standards which may include the following:

Improving Student's Skills Outside the Academic Subject Areas

Describe how the school ensures counseling, school-based mental health services, specialized support services, mentoring services, and other strategies to improve students' skills outside the academic subject areas. (ESEA 1114(b)(7)(iii)(I))

ACSP aligns and opts to follow MDCPS mental health plan and has a collaborative relationship with the MDCPS mental health liaisons. ACSP also employs a Dean of Discipline to support our middle school and a full time counselor to assist with mental health initiatives school wide. ACSP is also a PBIS model school and celebrate our Values Matters curriculum through the use of point systems and embedded positive rewards monthly and quarterly.

Preparing for Postsecondary Opportunities and the Workforce

Describe the preparation for and awareness of postsecondary opportunities and the workforce, which may include career and technical education programs and broadening secondary school students' access to coursework to earn postsecondary credit while still in high school. (ESEA 1114(b)(7)(iii)(II))

N/A

Addressing Problem Behavior and Early Intervening Services

Describe the implementation of a schoolwide tiered model to prevent and address problem behavior, and early intervening services coordinated with similar activities and services carried out under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act. (20 U.S.C. 1400 et seq. and ESEA 1114(b)(7)(iii)(III)).

ACSP faculty and staff were trained on the tiered model for mental health. We are aligned to and have opted to follow the MDCPS mental health plan. ACSP believes in progressive discipline and allowing students the opportunity to correct behaviors. Our counselors are trained to help students navigate through various situations. The PBIS model plays an important role in creating a positive learning environment for all students.

Professional Learning and Other Activities

Describe the professional learning and other activities for teachers, paraprofessionals and other school personnel to improve instruction and use of data from academic assessments, and to recruit and retain effective teachers, particularly in high need subjects. (ESEA section 11149b)(7)(iii(V)).

Professional development was offered to all staff members during preservice week. PDs are offered throughout the school year. EESAC members, as well as the leadership team have input as to which

Printed: 11/08/2024 Page 33 of 37

PDs will be offered throughout the school year to enhance student achievement and build professional capacity. Teachers are also encouraged to register for PDs that align to the teacher's individual professional growth goals and DPGT.

Strategies to Assist Preschool Children

Describe the strategies the school employs to assist preschool children in the transition from early childhood education programs to local elementary school programs. (ESEA 1114(b)(7)(iii)(V))

N/A

Printed: 11/08/2024 Page 34 of 37

VI. ATSI, TSI and CSI Resource Review

This section must be completed if the school is identified as ATSI, TSI or CSI (ESEA Sections 1111(d)(1)(B)(4) and (d)(2)(C) and 1114(b)(6)).

Process to Review the Use of Resources

Describe the process to review the use of resources to meet the identified needs of students.

No Answer Entered

Specifics to Address the Need

Identify the specific resource(s), rationale (i.e., data) and plan to address the need(s) (i.e., timeline).

No Answer Entered

Printed: 11/08/2024 Page 35 of 37

VII. Budget to Support Areas of Focus

Check if this school is eligible for 2024-25 UniSIG funds but has chosen not to apply.

No

Printed: 11/08/2024 Page 36 of 37

BUDGET

0.00

Printed: 11/08/2024 Page 37 of 37